

(b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424

From: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424
Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2015 9:49 PM
To: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424;(b)(6)
Cc: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424
Subject: [From NIPRNet] FW: Question Regarding Case #15-196: Resume

(b)(3):10 USC 424;
(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 10:21 AM
To: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424
Subject: Re: Question Regarding Case #15-196: Resume

(b)(3):10 USC 424

(b)(3):10 USC 424;
(b)(6)

Can you please provide you supervisor's name and phone number.

(b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)

Sent from Windows Mail

From: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424
Sent: Monday, June 29, 2015 9:17 AM
To: (b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)
Cc:

(b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)

The DIA, Prepublication Review conducted a follow-up coordinated security review on your 1-page resume and have concluded the redactions stand firm and no recourse for reconsideration will follow.

Please be reminded that you signed the Non-Disclosure Agreement when you departed the agency and can be held accountable if you divulge sensitive information to un-authorized personnel.

This determination concludes the final review of your resume.

V/r,

(b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424

DIA, Prepublication Review
NIPR: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424
GAL:

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3):10 USC 424;(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, June 25, 2015 11:46 PM

To: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424
Subject: Re: Question Regarding Case #15-196: Resume

(b)(3) 10.U.S.C

(b)(3):10 USC 424;
(b)(6)

Can you please find some time today (Friday) to call me to discuss the status of my inquiry?

(b)(3): 10 USC
424;(b)(6)

Sent from Windows Mail

From: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424

Sent: Monday, June 22, 2015 7:55 AM

To: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424;(b)(6)

Cc:

(b)(3): 10 USC 424;(b)(6)

I am in receipt of your email and will reengage with the Prepub Review board to discuss your concerns and follow-up with you this week.

Regards,

(b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424

Office of Corporate Communications (OCC) DIA, Prepublications Review

NIPR: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3): 10 USC 424;(b)(6)

Sent: Friday, June 19, 2015 8:53 PM

To: (b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424;(b)(6)

Subject: Question Regarding Case #15-196: Resume

(b)(3) 10.U.S.C 424

(b)(3): 10 USC
424;(b)(6)

Thank you for our phone conversation and email Thursday afternoon. This resume is important to me and I am committed to doing this the right way.

Attached is a copy of my SF50 (Notification of Personnel Action) Form that was written and approved by Human Resources the day after I left employment with DIA. It is an unclassified document and was mailed to me on 16 December 2014. I was also informed during my outbrief that this form was sent to OPM as well.

If you look at lines 8-10, this document lists my position as an intelligence officer (IO, GG-0132). As my outbrief explained to me, this is standard policy for DIA employees in my specific field and situation.

I am assuming that the review board was unaware of this document when they looked at my resume. If they were aware, can you explain the first redacted point in my resume, which the review board revised to read "operations or

admin officer"? On first glance, it appears that you redacted something that was already declassified by DIA in December 2014.

I have more concerns with the review boards decision on my resume, but I was hoping to get this issue answered first. Please let me know if you have any questions on this point.

Thank you again for helping me with this process. I am committed to doing this the correct way.

(b)(3): 10 USC 424;(b)
(6)